UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/581,460	02/13/2007	Antonio Barletta	287951US8X PCT	2315	
	2850 7590 09/08/2009 DBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND MAIER & NEUSTADT, L.L.P.			EXAMINER	
1940 DUKE STREET			TILLERY, RASHAWN N		
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2174		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			09/08/2009	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/581,460	BARLETTA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	RASHAWN TILLERY	2174	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. Apply be timely filed FHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ▼ T Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	This action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	·	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 9-28 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 9-28 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and Application Papers	drawn from consideration. d/or election requirement.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corunt of the cor	accepted or b) objected to be the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. ents have been received in Aporiority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/15/09, 8/6/09.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application _·	

Application/Control Number: 10/581,460 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to the Amendment filed 6/15/2009.

2. Claims 9-28 are pending in this application. Claims 9, 15 and 23 are independent claims. In the instant Amendment, claims 9-28 were amended. This is a Non-Final action on the RCE filed 6/15/2009.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 9-12, 15-19 and 23-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ho et al ("Ho" US 2003/0210226).

Regarding claim 9, Ho discloses a multimedia preview system in a client/server-based network environment for browsing content of requested multimedia data to be previewed, the content to be displayed on a client terminal for accessing a multimedia server configured to hold the requested multimedia data (see paragraphs [0046] and [0102]), the multimedia preview system comprising:

Art Unit: 2174

an interface configured to receive commands indicating a speed at which the multimedia preview system is to browse through at least one of text and an image associated with the requested multimedia data (see paragraphs [0003], [0010] and [0050] where browsing speed of an electronic book is discussed); and

controlling means for adapting a detail level of a presentation of the at least one of the text and the image, depending on at least markup tags associated with the requested multimedia data and a frequency of the commands, such that the detail level of the presentation of at least one of the text and the image is higher when the speed is lower and vice versa, and for changing a layout of the at least one of the text and the image, depending on the speed (see paragraphs [0010], [0050], [0076] and [0079]; Examiner notes that the level of detail shown when user flips one page at a time- i.e., in a lower browsing speed- is greater than the level of detail shown when user flips multiple pages at a time- i.e., in an increased browsing speed).

Regarding claim 10, Ho discloses means for displaying the requested multimedia data with different layouts depending on the speed (see paragraph [0079] where it is discussed that the layout of a page is changed based on a user's reading pattern).

Regarding claim 11, Ho discloses means for setting semantic focus, proportional to the speed, of the requested multimedia data (see paragraph [0076] where it is discussed that a higher resolution is provided for pages user spends more time on).

Regarding claim 12, Ho discloses means for introducing the markup tags in the requested multimedia data for changing the layout of the at least one of the text and the

image (see paragraph [0050]; Examiner notes that only flipping pages are shown with increased browsing speed).

Claims 15-18 are similar in scope to claims 9-12, respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Regarding claim 19, Ho discloses associating the markup tags, allowing identification of segmented parts of the multimedia data to be previewed, to the multimedia data; and synchronizing the markup tags with the multimedia data (see paragraph [0050]; Examiner notes that only flipping pages are shown with increased browsing speed).

Claims 23-28 are similar in scope to claims 9-14, respectively, and are therefore rejected under similar rationale.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 13, 14 and 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ho in view of Van Den Hoven et al ("Hoven" US 7152210).

Regarding claim 13, Ho discloses the multimedia preview system for varying the speed and the detail level of the presentation of the at least one of the text and the image, depending on at least the frequency of the commands instructing the multimedia

Art Unit: 2174

preview system to change the speed such that the detail level is higher when the speed is lower and vice versa (see paragraphs [0010], [0050], [0076] and [0079]; Examiner notes that the level of detail shown when user flips one page at a time- i.e., in a lower browsing speed- is greater than the level of detail shown when user flips multiple pages at a time- i.e., in an increased browsing speed).

Ho does not expressly disclose the multimedia preview system as a video-on-demand system with video browsing means. However, Hoven discloses browsing a collection of images at various speeds. In one embodiment of the invention, the collection of images could comprise one or more video streams (see col. 3, lines 45-64). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to modify Ho's user interface by including Hoven's teachings in an effort to provide user an easy, simple and intuitive way to browse an image collection.

Regarding claim 14, Ho does not expressly disclose the controlling means includes a touch-sensitive display configured to navigate through the requested multimedia data to be previewed. However, Hoven discloses browsing a collection of images at various speeds. In one embodiment of the invention, user can scroll images on a display screen using a finger (see col. 4, line 60 to col. 5, line 21 where stroking gesture is discussed). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to modify Ho's user interface by including Hoven's teachings in an effort to provide user an easy, simple and intuitive way to browse an image collection.

Regarding claim 20, Ho discloses the multimedia preview system for varying the speed and the detail level of the presentation of the at least one of the text and the

image, depending on at least the frequency of the commands instructing the multimedia preview system to change the speed such that the detail level is higher when the speed is lower and vice versa (see paragraphs [0010], [0050], [0076] and [0079]; Examiner notes that the level of detail shown when user flips one page at a time- i.e., in a lower browsing speed- is greater than the level of detail shown when user flips multiple pages at a time- i.e., in an increased browsing speed).

Ho does not expressly disclose the user commands are based on movements of a pressure across a touch-sensitive display a length of a movement path of one of the movements being directly proportional to at least one of the speed of browsing and the detail level of the presentation of the at least one of the text and the image, during the displaying the multimedia data. However, Hoven discloses browsing a collection of images at various speeds. In one embodiment of the invention, user can scroll images on a display screen using a finger (see col. 4, line 60 to col. 5, line 21 where stroking gesture is discussed). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to modify Ho's user interface by including Hoven's teachings in an effort to provide user an easy, simple and intuitive way to browse an image collection.

Regarding claim 21, Ho does not expressly disclose the user commands are based on forces exerted to a surface of a touch-sensitive display, one of the forces being directly proportional to at least one of the speed of browsing and the detail level of the presentation of the at least one of the text and the image, during the displaying the multimedia data. However, Hoven discloses browsing a collection of images at various speeds. In one embodiment of the invention, user can scroll images on a display screen

Application/Control Number: 10/581,460 Page 7

Art Unit: 2174

using a finger (see col. 4, line 60 to col. 5, line 21 where stroking gesture is discussed). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to modify Ho's user interface by including Hoven's teachings in an effort to provide user an easy, simple and intuitive way to browse an image collection.

Regarding claim 22, Ho does not expressly disclose the commands are based on a duration of forces exerted to a surface of a touch-sensitive display, the duration being directly proportional to at least one of the speed of browsing and the detail level of the presentation of the at least one of the text and the image, during the displaying the multimedia data. However, Hoven discloses browsing a collection of images at various speeds. In one embodiment of the invention, user can scroll images on a display screen using a finger (see col. 4, line 60 to col. 5, line 21 where stroking gesture is discussed). It would have been obvious to an artisan at the time of the invention to modify Ho's user interface by including Hoven's teachings in an effort to provide user an easy, simple and intuitive way to browse an image collection.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9-28 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/581,460 Page 8

Art Unit: 2174

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to RASHAWN TILLERY whose telephone number is 571-

272-6480. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/RASHAWN TILLERY/ Examiner, Art Unit 2174

/Adam L Basehoar/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2178